P.F. 171-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1892-93

File No. 206-92

192

(L.R.)

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

In ~~Part~~ B for February 1893

SUBJECT.

Claim of Vasudevabhatta & others & Chickaballapur Taluk to Inam land. Survey no. 25 in Honnahally

To,

The Deputy Comr Kolar District.

No. 13158 B. 6/2/93. LR 185-92. 6.

P.F. 171-92

Rejecting the appeal of Vasudevabhatta & others as their appeal is received beyond time and moreover frivolous

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Indexed

Madras

Petition no: 590 of 1892

Petitioners - Vasudevabhatta
and Ors.

The question is whether the
appeal should be admitted
or not.

The first petition of
appeal (no: 107) was
rejected as it was not
preferred within the time
prescribed.

The appeal is against
the Kolar Dy Coll's order
Dated 1st July 1891, no 234.

The 1st appeal petition
Dy this Ofn was Dated 11th July
92

The Petitioner now
explains that there was
delay in granting him
a Copy of the Dy Coll's
order.

On the certified
Copy

of the order the date
of appn is noted
down as — 30.4.92
Copy was ready on - 4.6.92
" delivered " 22.6.92

The Petitioner states that
he applied for a copy of the
order on 13th June 1892

The D/Sons was required
to report on the following
points -

1. Whether the order appealed
against was communi-
cated to the Petitioner,
if so, when?

2 Whether the date of
appn is correctly noted
down on the ~~copy~~ copy

3 How far are the
allegations made by
the Petitioners correct?

The answers gathered
from the D/Sons report
are —

The order though not communicated to the Petrs in writing by the Govt was communicated (how?) to them by the Govt in December 1990 when he was holding the Parliament of the Cheikkavallaspan Islands.

Note - It is supposed that the communication was a verbal one

2. Petrs applied for a copy the order on 13 Jan 92 The appn was returned as the records had been sent to the Survey Dept. When the records were received back Petrs were informed of the fact & they put in a fresh appn. on 30.4.92

on 7th April 92

The Govt submits a statement of the several petitions received from the Petrs.

In para 6 the Dy Com
reports further that
when the Dewan visited
the localities during his
last tour the Petrs
represented the matter
personally & they were
informed that the com-
-plaint was groundless &
that the Dy Com was
directed to note in
the Khetwar that the
pleadings No: containing
the well in question
should not be given to
any body. Petrs
are anxious to acquire
the pond purply to
evade payment of
water rate for the
main land adjoining
the pond which virtually
the same.

Submitted for Mr. Counsel
orders

25/1/93

The petn appd
is beyond time
& his mere grumbles

31/1

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892/93. (2)

No. 107

Name of Petitioner. Vasudeva Bhatta and others.

Village. Chikballapur

Taluk. Do.

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 11th July

Date of Receipt. 13th July

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 8

(1) Stamp Correct.

(2) Copy of order produced.

(3). The Dy Comrs' order is dated 1st July 92. & this appeal, 11th July 1892.

Inform petitioner that his appeal is rejected as it has been preferred more than 90 months beyond the prescribed time.

BO.
21/7/92
Councillor.

Draft for Councillors approval
21/7/92

Drafted.
22.7.92.

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioners claim the well named Chikavate and the surrounding lands with the trees and buildings thereon to be their Inam obtained by their ancestors as a gift from Bhimayajisvara to whom they were granted by the late Dewan Purnayya. They state that on the amildar's ~~malicious~~ report, the Dy Commissioner ~~passed~~ issued order No. 234 to separate the well and the surrounding piece of land containing the trees and buildings from their Inam lands and ~~to~~ to make

them a pub-number; thus
depriving the Petitioners of
their trees worth about Rs 400 and
of their claim to the temples and
~~as [illegible]~~ Mantapams built by them
and their ancestors. The
Petitioners pray for the cancellation
of the above order.

S.V.
16/1

COURT FEE
MYSORE
TWO RUPEES

MYSORE DISTRICTS OFFICE
PETITION SECTION
REG'D. NO. 1071/2
DATE 13-7-92

13-8-92

1

2

3

4

5

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಕಣ್ಣೀರಿಟ್ಟು ಕೈಮುಗಿದು ಬೇಡಿಕೊಂಡರೂ ಕೇಳದೆ ಅವಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಅತ್ಯಾಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವಳ ಮೈಮೇಲೆ ಬಟ್ಟೆಯೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅವಳ ಮೈಯೆಲ್ಲಾ ಗಾಯಗಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಅವಳ ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಹನಿ ರಕ್ತವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ

ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರು ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಂದ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಆಸ್ತಿಯು ಅವರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣವು ಮೇಲೆ ಹೇಳಿದ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಭಿನ್ನವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರು ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹೂಡಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಪೀಲನ್ನು ಅನುಮತಿಸಿ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯಗಳ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿ

ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು

ಪ್ರಥಮ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ

ಸಮಯ : ೩ ಗಂಟೆ ೩೦ ನಿಮಿಷ

ಗರಿಷ್ಠ ಅಂಕಗಳು : ೭೫

ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು :

೧. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

೨. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೩. ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

೪. ಅಗತ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಡಿಸಿ.

೫. ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೊಠಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಫೋನ್ ಬಳಸಬಾರದು.

---

೧. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. (೧೦ × ೨ = ೨೦)

ಅ) ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು ಯಾವ ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಾಯಿತು?

ಆ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಚೇರಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ?

ಇ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಪ್ರಥಮ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಹೆಸರೇನು?

ಈ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಹೆಸರೇನು?

ಉ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಧ್ಯೇಯವಾಕ್ಯ ಯಾವುದು?

ಊ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಋ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳಿವೆ?

ೠ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತು ಆಯೋಜಿಸುವ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಯಾವುದು?

ಌ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ ಯಾರು?

ೡ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಜಾಲತಾಣದ ವಿಳಾಸವೇನು?

---

೨. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿವರವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. (೫ × ೫ = ೨೫)

ಅ) ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

ಆ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ಇ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿರುವ ಮೂರು ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳ ಹೆಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಈ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವದ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನಗಳೇನು?

ಉ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ರಚನೆಯನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

---

೩. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. (೫ × ೩ = ೧೫)

ಅ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾಶನ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕಾರ್ಯವೈಖರಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ಆ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಮೂಲಗಳಾವುವು?

ಇ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಂಶೋಧನಾ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳೇನು?

---

೪. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿವರವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. (೫ × ೫ = ೨೫)

ಅ) ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಾಧನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಒಂದು ಲೇಖನ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಆ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಭವಿಷ್ಯದ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ.

ಇ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

---

೫. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. (೫ × ೩ = ೧೫)

ಅ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಭಾಂಗಣದ ಆಸನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಆ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಲೆಕ್ಕಪರಿಶೋಧನೆ ಯಾರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ?

ಇ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಸಭೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತದೆ?

---

೬. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿವರವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. (೫ × ೫ = ೨೫)

ಅ) ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಾಧನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಒಂದು ಲೇಖನ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಆ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಭವಿಷ್ಯದ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ.

ಇ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

---

೭. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. (೫ × ೩ = ೧೫)

ಅ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಭಾಂಗಣದ ಆಸನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಆ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಲೆಕ್ಕಪರಿಶೋಧನೆ ಯಾರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ?

ಇ) ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಸಭೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತದೆ?

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆನು.

~~No.~~ 107

LR 185-92+2 C 3

(3)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
13 ~~July~~ Aug. 1892.

Endorsement granted to Vasudeva Bhatta and others of Chikballapur, Kolar Distt.
in reply to ~~their~~ Petition Registered No. 107 dated 11th July 1892.

Petitioner~~s~~ are informed that the appeal is rejected as it has been preferred more than 9 months beyond the prescribed time.

2. The enclos: are returned.

6/8/92

1/8

"By Order,"

draft.

12/8/92
for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 590

Name of Petitioner. Mathamudre Vasudeva Bhatta & Subrayappa

Village. Chikballapur

Taluk. Do.

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 5th September

Date of Receipt. 6th September

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 12.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioners filed an appeal on the 11th July, about their Inam land S. No. 25 in Konnénahalli being subdivn and the petition was returned on the ground that the appeal was not in time. Petitioners state that they applied for a copy of Dy Commr's order on the 3th of January 92, but it was delivered to them on the 2nd June; that they have been submitting petitions to the Dy Commr all along

The claim is with regard to a well, a tope & certain inam lands.

The appeal is against the Kolar Dy Com's order dated 1st July 1891 in no. 234.

The first petn of appeal is dated 11th July 1892

The appeal was rejected as being too late.

The Petnr states that the appeal is in time & that there has been delay in granting him copy of Dy Com's order

On the Copy the date of appn is noted as 30th April 92

1. Copy was ready 4th June 92
3 delivered 22 Do

The petnr alleges that he applied for the Copy on 13th June 1892 & that is noted on the

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

and that therefore this
appeal is in time and
may be accepted

S.V.

Section is wrong.
Even granting that
the Petr applied for
the Copy in Jan 1892
the order appealed against
was by that time
more than 6 months
old.

Apart from this
Dr Nair
(1) whether the order
appealed against
was communicated
to the Petr (yes)
when?
(2) whether the date
of application is
correctly noted on
on the Copy.
(3) how far the
allegations made
in the petition

Box
Surname

Regd. 590/92
6-9-92

(4)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

మీ విశ్వసనీయులు బాల కృష్ణంరాజు మీకు నమస్కారములు

ఫిర్యాదు ఏమనగా ఆంధ్రరత్న కాలనీ, దాళ్వాశర్మ, ఈ ప్రాంతమే నాకు, నా అన్నదమ్ముల కలదు ఇట్టి వారు, ఉంటారని మీకు తెలియుటకు. నడుమ కాలం ది 1 వివరమనగా, ఇట్టి-వారు ఇంటి అడ్రస్ ని ఇంటర్నెట్ లో నుండి తీసుకొని వచ్చి ఇట్టి వారు ఉన్నారని ఇట్టి

(General Serial No. 80.)

189 .

(6)

L 2185-92-4

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Dy: Commr
Kolar Dt

Dated 23rd
Despatched 24 } Sept^r/92
Received

Enclosures No. 14 enclosures

General No. 4773
Pol^l & Jud^l No. 171-92

calling for certain information in connection with the Appeal of Vasudeva Bhatta & Subbarayappa of Kasaba Chikballapur

References to be marked.

To The Dy: Commr:, Kolar Dt

In forwarding herewith petitions Nos 104 & 590 of 11th July & 5th Sept. 92 respectively with twelve encls: from Mathamudra Vasudeva Bhatta & Subbarayappa of Kasba Chikballapur, claiming Inam land S. No. 25 in Honnenahalli, has the honor to request that the Dy: Commr will report

(1) Whether the order appealed against was communicated to the Petrs, and if so, when.

(2) Whether the date of application is correctly noted down in the Copy of the order granted to Petrs

(3) how far the allegations made in Petn No. 590 of 5/9/92 are correct.

"By order"

Draft

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

7247
Pet 337

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

9

Dated 7th January 1893

Despatched 9th Do.

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Petition

General No. 2059

No. 483

In reply to No. 4773 of 23rd Sept. 92.
A7 171

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the petitions from Mattamudré Vasudevabhatta and Subbarayappah of Kusba Chickaballapur.

Peth Br. Mrk
10/1/93

07 M
11/1/93

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Ld 185-92-5

Intimated to the Consul with a memo for orders
M
25/1/93

10/1/93

The Deputy Commissioners Office

Kolar District

No. 2059 Cen Office
483

Dated 7th January 1893.
9c

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No:
4773/R.F.171 dated the 23rd September last for-
warding for report 2 petitions Nos: 107 and 590
dated the 11th July and 5th September 1892
respectively from Mathamudre' Vasudeva
Bhatta and Subbarayappa of Kasba
Chikballapur, claiming Inam land
Survey No: 25 in Nonnenahalli, I have the
honor with regard to the 1st point of enquiry
contained in the above docket to state
that this office order appealed against
though not communicated to the Petitioners
in writing by the Amildar, it was com-
municated to them by me so early as

December

† Herewith returned with their enclosures.

December 1890 when I was holding the Paimaish of the Chikballapur Taluk.

2. The Petitioners gave a petition dated the 13th January 1892 applying for a Copy of the order but as the records could not at once be obtained from the Survey Superintendent to whom the same had been sent for a Sub-division of the aforesaid Survey No:(25) in view to the well in question being separated therefrom, the fact was intimated to the Petitioners, returning the Stamp they had produced for the Copy they wanted.

3. On receipt of the records from the Survey Department, of which the Petitioners were duly informed, they put in a fresh petition of the 30th April 1892 which date was noted as that of appli-cation in the Copy granted to them.

4. With respect to the last query in your Docket under reply, I beg herewith

briefly the several petitions addressed by the Petitioners and how they were disposed of.

5 The Vernacular Proceedings connected with the case as per accompanying Perish are also herewith submitted for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

6. I may also state that a personal representation of their supposed grievance about the subdivision of the Chitravali Pond and inclusion of it as Government Kharab, was made by the petitioners to the Dewan when he visited the locality during his last tour and they were informed by the Dewan that the complaint was groundless and the pond was safe in charge of Government. I was at the same time directed to enter a remark in the Khalewar that the land so sub-

divided

divided and treated as Karáb should not be given to any body on Darkhast. It may also be, not out of place to state why the petitioners are anxious to acquire the possession of the pond: it is simply to evade payment of water-rate for the inam adjoining the pond which irrigates the same.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

Inam

				1892. for delivery to the petitioners who received the same on the 22nd June 1892. Copy of the Amildars report was not granted to the Petitioner	

Mr Crooke
for Deputy Commissioner

Thana

Statement showing the petitions addressed by Kathamudre Vasudeva Bhatta and others in regard to the Chitravati well in the Chikballapur Taluk and the steps taken on the same.

Name of the petitioners.	Date of the petition	Regd No:	To whom addressed	Substance.	How disposed of.	Remarks
Kathamudre Vasudevabhatta and others.	4th July 1891.	68	Deputy Commissioner Kolar District.	State that the Chitravati well and the tope and the Mantapams attached to the same have long been in their enjoyment as Inam, but that Amildar Ramaswamiengar has recorded that they belong to Government. Prays that their long enjoyment may be allowed to remain undisturbed.	Forwarded to the Survey Superintendent with this office Kannarese yadi No: 436 dated the 19th August 1891 requesting to arrange for a Sub Division of the land (Survey No: 25) so as to separate the portion on which the well, Mantapams &c. stand.	
- do -	18th July 1891	198	Amildar Chikballapur Taluk.	Apply for the grant of Copies of the Parabhava and Siddharti accounts and certain other documents.	These were submitted to this office by the Amildar with his Kerzi No: 428 dated 28 July 1891.	
- do -	22nd - do -	205	do	- do -		
- do -	30th - do -	66	Deputy Commissioner Kolar District	Complain of delay on the part of the Amildar in granting the copies applied for by them and of their Inam land having been measured with a view to a Sub-division.	The Copies applied for were forwarded to the Amildar with this office order No: 50 dated the 19th August 1891 and the same delivered to the parties on the 12th January 1892, the delay having as reported by the Amildar been due to the non-appearance of the applicants earlier.	
- Do -	13th January 1892.	146	- do -	Apply for a Copy of this office order (No: 234 dated the 1st July 191) for a Sub-division so as to separate the Chitravati well as belonging to Government.	As the records on the subject could not at once be obtained from the Survey Superintendent to whom they had been sent, the applicants were informed of the fact on the 7th April 92.	
	28th - do -	157	- do -	- do -		
	4th March 1892	356	- do -	- do -		
	30th April 1892	38	- do -	Having received intimation of the return of the records from the Survey Department, apply for a Copy of this office order for the separation of the Chitravati well, as also a copy of the Amildar's report dated the 12th March 1891.	Copy of this office order No: 234 dated the 1st July 1891 was sent to the Amildar with this office Order No: 5 dated the 5th June	

No. 107-5-90- Dr 185-92-6

6/2/93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE, (10)
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
6 February 1893.

Endorsement granted to Vasudevabhatta and Subbarayabba of Chikkaballapura, Kolar Dist^t
in reply to their Petition Registered No: 107 & 590 dated 4th July & 5th Sept^r 1892.

Petitioners ~~is~~ are informed that their appeal is beyond time and is moreover groundless. ~~and hence it~~ It is therefore rejected.

2 The enc: are returned.

"By Order,"

Draft (Council order)

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

(P.J.O.)

Copy to

Copy to the Dy: Commr: Mineral &c.

Vers: Progs: received with his No: 2059/483 of 7th Jan 1893.

13158
Pet F. 171-92 — 2/6 — 12/93.

'By order'

Draft

Chief Secy:

BCW